

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mf

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
'United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,869	11/21/2003	Cynthia Kae Florkey	LUC-439/Florkey 13-7-3	. 3057
32205 75	590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
CARMEN B. PATTI & ASSOCIATES, LLC			LE, DANH C	
ONE NORTH I	LASALLE STREET			
44TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60602		2617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,869	FLORKEY ET AL.	FLORKEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DANH C. LE	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u>	ulv 2006.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
_	•						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 25</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>	8) Claim(s) 6-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
THE DATE OF DECIMALION IS Objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pap	erview Summary (PTO-413) ber No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application er:					

DETAILED ACTION

SET I

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihara (US 6,643,291) in view of Haga (US 20030231338).

As to claim 1, Yoshihara teaches an apparatus (figures 1, 24-28 and their descriptions), comprising:

a gateway component that provides an identifier of a service provider to a sender of a message through employment of a user address associated with a recipient of the message.

Yoshihara fails to teach the identified is a logo associated with the service provider. Haga teaches the identified is a logo associated with the service provider (paragraph 588). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Haga into the system of Yoshihara in order to identify the domain server.

As to claim 2, Yoshihara teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the service provider is associated with the recipient of the message, wherein the gateway component determines the identifier

Art Unit: 2617

of the service provider associated with the recipient of the message through employment of the user address associated with the recipient (figures 1, 24-28 and their descriptions).

As to claim 3, Yoshihara teaches the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the gateway component determines an indication of a text-delivery network associated with the service provider, wherein the gateway component provides the indication of the text-delivery network to the sender (figures 49, 50 and their descriptions).

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihara and Haga in view of Lasenski (US 20050058260).

As to claim 4, Yoshihara and Haga teaches the apparatus of claim 3, Yoshihara and Haga fails to teach the indication of the text-delivery network comprises a Universal Resource Locator (URL) associated with the text-delivery network, wherein the gateway component provides the Universal Resource Locator to the sender to allow for an initiation of the message by the sender. Lasenski teaches a Universal Resource Locator (URL) associated with the text-delivery network, wherein the gateway component provides the Universal Resource Locator to the sender to allow for an initiation of the message by the sender (paragraph 0082). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Lasenski into the system of Yoshihara and Haga in order to identifies the location in server system of the message to be accessed.

SET II

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Lasenski (US 20050058260) in view of Haga (US 20030231338).

As to claim 1, Lasenski teaches an apparatus (figures 8-10 and their descriptions), comprising:

a gateway component that provides an identifier of a service provider to a sender of a message through employment of a user address associated with a recipient of the message.

Lasenski fails to teach the identified is a logo associated with the service provider. Haga teaches the identified is a logo associated with the service provider (paragraph 588). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Haga into the system of Yoshihara in order to identify the domain server.

As to claim 2, Lasenski teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the service provider is associated with the recipient of the message, wherein the gateway component determines the identifier of the service provider associated with the recipient of the message through employment of the user address associated with the recipient (figures 8-10 and their descriptions).

As to claim 3, Lasenski teaches the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the gateway component determines an indication of a text-delivery network associated with the

Page 5

service provider, wherein the gateway component provides the indication of the textdelivery network to the sender (figures 11, 15 and their descriptions).

As to claim 4, Lasenski teaches the apparatus of claim 3, wherein the indication of the text-delivery network comprises a Universal Resource Locator (URL) associated with the text-delivery network, wherein the gateway component provides the Universal Resource Locator to the sender to allow for an initiation of the message by the sender (paragraph 0082).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 25 are allowed as stated in the Applicant 's on pages 9-11.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A. Chung (US 2004/0117451) teaches method and systems for electronic mail internet target and direct marketing and electronic mail banner.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,869

Art Unit: 2617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,869

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

October 90 1906. E

PRIMARY EXAMINER